

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

				0
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,732	11/09/2001	John Matthew Swoyer	P-10110.00	8088
27581	7590 1 I/03/2004		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			BRADFORD, I	ODERICK D
MS-LC340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO!	LIS, MN 55432-5604		3762	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ŕ				
	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	ý				
	10/004,732		SWOYER ET AL.	L				
Office Action Summary	. Examiner		Art Unit					
	· Roderick B		3762					
The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
* *	OD DEDLY IS SET TO	EVDIDE 2 MONTH/	E) EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN Extension of time may be available under the provision after SIX (o) MOXITHS from the mailing date of this come If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty I ill No period for reply is specified above, the maximum at Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months: amend palent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nunication. 10) days, a reply within the statute tatutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed  will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communi (05 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>18 August 2004</u> .							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is nor	ı-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	for allowance except for all the excep	or formal matters, pro yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the mer	its is				
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 and 33-36 is/are pend	ding in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from con	sideration.						
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ol>								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 33-36</u> is/are reject	Claim(s) <u>1-20 and 33-36</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election re	quirement.						
Application Papers		,						
<li>9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the</li>	ne Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any obje				404741				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	o by the Examiner. No	e trie attached Office	ACTION OF IONIT PTO-13	12.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a clain a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was include 37 CFR 1.78.	for domestic priority un ed in the first sentence	der 35 U.S.C. § 119( of the specification or	e) (to a provisional app in an Application Data	lication) i Sheet.				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s)	<u></u>				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other: .	atent Application (PTO-152)	)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 3762

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 29, 2004 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on July 29, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the "tines" are conductive and are a part of the electrode structure in Kroll and that Kroll does not disclose that a tine element array is positioned on the lead proximal to an electrode array.

However this is not persuasive since the Kroll reference is capable of meeting the claim limitations. Referring to Fig. 5 the examiner considers (27) the electrode array and the tine element array (28) extending through a segment of a lead proximal to the electrode array. Therefore the rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 3762



electrode array tine element array extending through a segment of lead proximal to the electrode array

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1, 3-8, 11, 13-18, 20, 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kroll et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,257,634.

Referring to claims 1, 11, 33 and 35, Kroll discloses a medical electrical lead for electrical stimulation of body tissue adapted to be introduced through and released into the body employing an introducer having an introducer lumen comprising:

- A lead body extending between lead proximal and distal ends
- P proximal connector elements formed in a connector array in a proximally segment of the lead body (29)
- P stimulation electrodes arranged in an electrode array extending proximally from the lead distal end through a distal segment of the lead body (FIG. 3)

Application/Control Number: 10/004,732
Art Unit: 3762

• A plurality of M tine elements formed in a tine array extending through a segment of the lead proximal to the electrode array, each tine element comprising N flexible, pliant, tines, each tine having a tine width and thickness and extending through a tine length from an attached tine end to a free tine end, the attached tine end attached to the lead body from a tine attachment site and supporting the tine extending outwardly of the lead body and proximally toward the lead proximal end (FIG. 3), whereby M x N tines are adapted to be folded inward against the lead body when fitted and constrained by the lumen of an introducer without overlapping one another and deploy outward to engage body tissue when the introducer is withdrawn proximally and release the tines to inhibit axial dislodgement of P stimulation electrodes (column 3. lines 52-62).

Referring to claims 3 and 13, wherein the tines of the tine elements are formed of a flexible implantable grade superelastic alloy (column 4, lines 25-29).

Referring to claims 4 and 14, wherein the tine attachment sites of the M tine elements are separated longitudinally along the lead body in the tine element array by a distance that is substantially equal to or exceeds the tine length when folded proximally against the lead body so that the tines are not overlapping (FIG. 7).

Referring to claims 5 and 15, wherein the tine attachment sites of each of the M tine are disposed in a common circumference of the lead body, offset from one another around the common circumference such that the tine free ends of the tines of each

Art Unit: 3762

adjacent tine element engage against body tissue at a radially and axially separated points along the tine element array (FIG. 6).

Referring to claims 6 and 16, wherein the tine lengths and the tine widths are selected to enable the more distal N tines of more distal tine elements of the tine element array to be folded proximally alongside and interleaved with the adjacent more proximal tines of more proximal tine elements (FIG. 8).

Referring to claims 7, 8, 17 and 18, wherein N tines of the M tine elements are equal in number (FIG. 3).

Referring to claims 10 and 20, wherein P=1 (FIG. 3).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be necatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/004,732
Art Unit: 3762

Claims 2 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Kroll et al. U.S. Patent No. 5 257 634.

Referring to claims 2 and 12, Kroll discloses the claimed invention except for wherein the tines of the tine elements are formed of a flexible bio-compatible plastic selected from the group consisting of medical grade polyurethane compounds and silicone rubber compounds. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art to modify the system and teachings of Kroll to have tines that are formed of a flexible bio-compatible plastic selected from the group consisting of medical grade polyurethane compounds and silicone rubber compounds, since the applicant does not disclose that tines formed of a flexible bio-compatible plastic provides any criticality and/or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any tines, such as the tines as taught by Kroll as a means of placing tines in the body.

Claims 9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Kroll et al. U.S. Patent No. 5 257 634 in view of Bush et al. U.S. Patent No. 5 282 845.

Referring to claims 9 and 19, Kroll fails to disclose wherein P > 1, and at least on the P stimulation electrodes comprises an elongated, flexible electrode adapted to assume a curve when implanted in relation to the body. However, Bush discloses wherein P > 1, and at least on the P stimulation electrodes comprises an elongated, flexible electrode adapted to assume a curve when implanted in relation to the body (FIG. 1) as a means to more easily stimulate different body tissue.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/004,732
Art Unit: 3762

invention was made to modify the teaching of Kroll to include wherein P > 1, and at least on the P stimulation electrodes comprises an elongated, flexible electrode adapted to assume a curve when implanted in relation to the body, as taught by Bush, as a means to more easily stimulate different body tissue.

 Claims 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kroll et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,257,634 in view of Borkan et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,510,347.

Referring to claims 34 and 36, Kroll fails to disclose wherein the lead body further comprises a second proximal connector element, a ring shaped electrode spaced apart proximally from the distal wire coil electrode, and a second lead conductor extending between the second proximal element and the distal ring shaped electrode. However, Borkan discloses wherein the lead body further comprises a second proximal connector element, a ring shaped electrode spaced apart proximally from the distal wire coil electrode, and a second lead conductor extending between the second proximal element and the distal ring shaped electrode (column 4, lines 46-55 and column 5, lines 48-56) as a means to ensure efficient power is being provided to each of the stimulation electrodes.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Kroll to include wherein the lead body further comprises a second proximal connector element, a ring shaped electrode spaced apart proximally from the distal wire coil electrode, and a second lead conductor extending between the second proximal element and the distal ring shaped electrode,

Art Unit: 3762

as taught Borkan, as a means to ensure efficient power is being provided to each of the stimulation electrodes.

#### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roderick Bradford whose telephone number is (703)
 305-3287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7 a.m. - 4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

R. Bradfood

R.B.

ANGELA D. SYKES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700